

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Examiner had indicated that claims 43-47 were allowed and the claims 30-32 and 49-50 were only objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Accordingly, claim 1 is being amended effectively to introduce the subject matter of claims 2, 3, 11, 17, 18, 29 and 30, so that it does indeed define the subject matter of former claim 30, its base claim and all intervening claims. Consequently, it is understood that claim 1, now effectively of the same scope as former claim 30, should be in order for allowance.

The opportunity has been taken to retain previous claims dependent from claim 1, since they are now dependent from what is believed to be an allowable claim. Appropriate amendments to claim dependencies have been made to claims 5, 12-15, 19, 20, 27 and 28, so that they are all now dependent from claim 1.

Claims 9, 16, 31 and 32 have been retained without amendments to the claim dependencies. Former claims 2, 3, 11, 17, 18, 29 and 30 are being cancelled.

Claims 33-42 are being cancelled.

Claims 43-47 have been retained, these claims having been allowed. A minor editorial correction is being made to claims 43 and 47.

As claim 49 was only objected to, the subject matter of claim 49 has been introduced into claim 48, with a consequential amendment to the dependency of claim 50.

With respect to the objection under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d)(1) to the passage cited from part (d) of claim 48, it is submitted that this subject matter is adequately described in the specification, and the Examiner is referred to paragraphs [0093] to [0095], and to the description of fluid stream diverters 200 at paragraphs [0083] to [0086].

There it is described how the diverters 200 provide movable channels providing separate flow communications between the ports 143, 144 and the chambers 150.

While the term "casing" is not used, the media is described as having a "multi-cavity media support 300", and the housing then comprises the end housings 140, 145 attached to the media casing.

It is submitted that claim 48 is fully in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d)(1).

Accordingly, it is submitted that all the claims retained in the application are now either allowed claims, or claims that were only previously objected to and that have now been put into allowable form.

Accordingly, early review and allowance are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BERESKIN & PARRY

By

H. Samuel Frost  
Reg. No. 31,696  
Tel: 416-364-7311